



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

X

IN RE METHYL TERTIARY BUTYL  
ETHER PRODUCTS LIABILITY  
LITIGATION

Master File No. 1:00-1898  
MDL 1358 (SAS)  
M21-88

This document pertains to:

*New Jersey Department of Environmental  
Protection, et al. v. Atlantic Richfield Co., et  
al.,*  
Case No. 08-CV-00312

*Request DENIED*  
*So Ordered:*  
*[Signature]* USDC  
6/7/10

X

**STIPULATION AND ORDER EXTENDING TIME FOR ROSEMORE INC. TO  
ANSWER OR OTHERWISE RESPOND TO COMPLAINT**

Whereas on or about September 24, 2008, Plaintiffs filed a Third Amended Complaint in this action;

Whereas the undersigned parties had previously stipulated and agreed to extend the time to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint until June 1, 2008, for Defendant Rosemore Inc. in order for the undersigned parties to complete their discussions regarding dismissing Rosemore Inc.;

Whereas the undersigned parties had previously stipulated and agreed to extend the time to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint until August 1, 2008, for Defendant Rosemore Inc. in order for the undersigned parties to complete their discussions regarding dismissing Rosemore Inc.;

Whereas the undersigned parties had previously stipulated and agreed to extend the time to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint until October 31, 2008, for Defendant Rosemore Inc. in order for the undersigned parties to complete their discussions regarding

dismissing Rosemore Inc.;

Whereas the undersigned parties had previously stipulated and agreed to extend the time to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint until December 31, 2008, for Defendant Rosemore Inc. in order for the undersigned parties to complete their discussions regarding dismissing Rosemore Inc.;

Whereas the undersigned parties had previously stipulated and agreed to extend the time to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint until March 31, 2009, for Defendant Rosemore Inc. in order for the undersigned parties to complete their discussions regarding dismissing Rosemore Inc.;

Whereas the undersigned parties had previously stipulated and agreed to extend the time to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint until June 30, 2009, for Defendant Rosemore Inc. in order for the undersigned parties to complete their discussions regarding dismissing Rosemore Inc.;

Whereas the undersigned parties had previously stipulated and agreed to extend the time to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint until August 31, 2009, for Defendant Rosemore Inc. in order for the undersigned parties to complete their discussions regarding dismissing Rosemore Inc.;

Whereas the undersigned parties had previously stipulated and agreed to extend the time to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint until October 30, 2009, for Defendant Rosemore Inc. in order for the undersigned parties to complete their discussions regarding dismissing Rosemore Inc.;

Whereas the undersigned parties had previously stipulated and agreed to extend the time to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint until December 31, 2009, for Defendant Rosemore Inc. in order for the undersigned parties to complete their discussions regarding dismissing Rosemore Inc.;

Whereas the undersigned parties had previously stipulated and agreed to extend the time to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint until March 1, 2010, for Defendant Rosemore Inc. in order for the undersigned parties to complete their discussions regarding dismissing Rosemore Inc.;

Whereas the undersigned parties had previously stipulated and agreed to extend the time to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint until June 1, 2010, for Defendant Rosemore Inc. in order for the undersigned parties to complete their discussions regarding dismissing Rosemore Inc.;

Whereas the undersigned parties have continued those discussions, but need additional time to complete those discussions;

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the undersigned counsel for Plaintiffs New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, et al. and Defendant Rosemore Inc. as follows:

The time to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint is hereby extended to and including September 1, 2010 for Rosemore Inc.

Dated: June 1, 2010

**BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP**

By: /s/ Ben M. Krowicki

Ben M. Krowicki (BK0640)  
One State Street  
Hartford, CT 06103-3178  
T: 860.240.2700  
F: 860.240.2818  
ben.krowicki@bingham.com

Evan J. Benanti (EB1202)  
Bingham McCutchen LLP  
One Federal Street  
Boston, MA 02110  
T: 617.951.8000  
F: 617.951.8736  
evan.benanti@bingham.com

*Attorneys for Rosemore Inc.*

**Anne Milgram  
Attorney General of New Jersey**

BY: /s/ Richard F. Engel

Richard F. Engel  
Deputy Attorney General

**COHN, LIFLAND, PEARLMAN,  
HERRMANN & KNOPF, L.L.P.**

By: /s/ Leonard Z. Kaufmann

Leonard Z. Kaufmann  
Park 80 Plaza West-One  
Saddle Brook, NJ 07663  
T: 201.845.9600  
F: 201.845.9423  
lzk@njlawfirm.com

*Attorney for Plaintiffs*

**IT IS SO ORDERED:**

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
U.S.D.J.

**DECLARATION OF SERVICE**

I hereby declare under perjury of law that a true copy of the above **Stipulation and Order Extending Time for Rosemore Inc. to Answer or Otherwise Respond to Complaint** was served electronically via Lexis-Nexis File and Serve to plaintiffs' counsel and defense attorneys on the 1st day of June 2010.

/s/ Ben M. Krowicki  
Ben M. Krowicki